Monitoring Flow Streams in Multi-step Transformations

Reaction optimisation conference Flow Chemistry Lecture 24th of April 2013

Department of Chemistry, University of Durham University South Road, Durham. DH1 3LE, UK e-mail: i.r.baxendale@durham.ac.uk



lan R. Baxendale

'For 60% of today's chemical reactions the easiest approach is a batch based synthesis.'

French chemist Antoine Lavoisier (the "father of modern chemistry") started the chemical revolution in 1773.

We have now had over 240 years to perfect batch based approaches.

So why adopt flow chemistry as an additional tool?

To overcome some of the current limitations in working practice.

A few example:

• Solvent boiling point as upper temperature limit (avoid DMSO/DMF)

- the problem of 'reflux restriction' (Microwave chemistry/scale-up)

- Use of large dilution, e.g. to control heat releases or to simply create a working volume.
- The direct scale up of a reaction is not always a linear progression or multiplication of substrates/solvents and parameters.
- Achieve controlled reactivity rather than relying upon aggressive or labile reagents – quick and dirty chemistry reliant on chromatography.
- In-line monitoring means problems can be rectified early not all your eggs are in one basket.





Integrated Flow Chemistry Platform



Heating Unit

In-line detection

Fraction Collector

Automated Sequential Reactions

Trifluoromethylation with Ruppert's Reagent









BDA tartrates – monitoring concentrations



BDA Modified Building Blocks



MT React IRTM 45m flow cell





- Body: ReactIR[™] 45m, fitted with a Mercury Cadmium Telluride (MCT) detector. Flow cell: Attenuated Total Reflectance (ATR) diamond sensor
- Full infrared spectral region from 650 to 1950 cm⁻¹ and from 2250 to 4000 cm⁻¹
- Head can be heated and can stand pressures up to 30 bar
- HPLC connections to flow chemistry equipment
- iC IR 4.0 software for system operation and data analysis

Azides: Preparation in Flow











Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 1927

In-line monitoring for Azides

Mettler-Toledo ReactIR flow cell



0.30

0.20

0.10

0.00





Ferric chloride colourimetric test







Triazole Synthesis in Flow: Automation



Triazole Synthesis in Flow

δ -Opioid Receptor Agonist

Potent 5HT_{1B} Antagonist

0

Synthesis of Gleevec

Gleevec

Aim:

- Devise a flow-based synthesis of Gleevec that reduces the need for manual workup/purification.
- Use the generic reactor to demonstrate library production from commercially available building blocks.
- Create a route that allowed for increased points of diversity in analogues construction.
- Demonstrate production and in-line screening of drug candidates using a known pharmaceutical.

Synthesis of Gleevec

- N_2 gas bubbled through the solution removes the DCM
- •All product can be collected from the previous step increased overall yield
- 80% isolated yield

Synthesis of Gleevec

Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 2450.

Gleevec

Analogues of Gleevec

Ynone Synthesis – numbering out

Chem. Eur. J., 2010, 16, 89-94

Stream Splitting in Flow

MeO

+

Mixing and Dispersion

Dispersion Model accounts for diffusion

diffusion molecular

diffusion convective

Aris-Taylor dispersion in laminar flow or turbulent dispersion from eddies

The third stream issue

The third stream issue

OPRD 2010, 14, 393

The third stream issue

Chem. Euro. J., 2011, 17, 3398 OPRD 2010, 14, 393

Synthesis of an IRE-1 binding probe

PNAS, 2012, doi:10.1073/pnas.1115623109

Route A: *N***-oxide Derived Synthesis**

Tube-In-Tube Gas-Liquid Flow Reactor

• reactor volume 0.28-0.56 mL (1-2 m Teflon AF-2400)

- gas pressure 10-35 bar
- flow rates 0.1-10 mL/min

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2011, 49, 1190.

Carbonylations of Grignard Reagents

55-65 g of resin

5-8 g resin

Hydrogenations using a Tube-in-Tube reactor

Proof of Concept Design

What to make

- SAR
- ADME/Tox
- Chemotype profiles
- Validation

Frontal Affinity Chromatography

Continuous infusion of analyte over immobilised target Injection of several concentrations gives access to K_d Compatible with existing Flow Chemistry platforms Method to calculate B_t directly: biocatalyst application

B_t = amount of immobilised protein

Anal. Biochem. 2003, 1-12

Downsizing the column:

2.5-23 μL

15 μL

31 µL

175-353 μL

Objective:

To assess the system using Human Serum Albumin (HSA)

10 mM sodium phosphate 2.7 mM KCl 137 mM NaCl , pH = 7.4

O Ni NH₂				
	Molecule	Bound in plasma (%)	Rt Volume	Estimated Kd (microM)
Isoniazid O Zolpidem	DMSO	-	127 μL	- V _o
,NN,NN,NN,NN,N_N_N,N_N,N_N,N_N,N_N,N_N,N_N,N_N,N_N,N_N,N_N,N_N,N_N,N_N,N_N,N_N,N_N,N_	Isoniazid	0	130 μL	-
	Zolpidem	92	414 μl	52
CI	Diclofenac	99.8	838 µl	1.2

Diclofenac, zolpidem and DMSO were retained with the correct order and reproducibly
The assay was used to evaluate the binding of a series of GABA_A agonists to HSA

Automated Flow Synthesis of GABAA Ligands

Synthesised GABAA Ligands

	R ₂								
R ₁ N COR ₄		R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R ₄	V (μL) @ 8 μM	Κ _d (μΜ)	B _t (nmoles)	
		DMSO	-	-	-	-	115.9	-	-
	1	CH_3	Н	CH_3	NMe ₂	430.1	60	20.5	
		2	CH ₃	Н	CH ₃	OH	680.5	25	21.5
	3	CH_3	Н	CH_3	NPr ₂	520.2	42	32.8	
	4	CI	Н	CI	NMe ₂	456.0	64	24.9	
K _d (μM) 52		5	CI	Н	CI	OH	822.5	37	31.2
	0		<u>ц</u>	СЦ	NIMO	267.5	150	00 E	
		0				INIVIE ₂	207.5	152	23.5
N-		6 7	Н	Н	CH ₃	OH	428.9	49	18.0
	Zolpidem	7 8	H CH ₃	H H	CH ₃ CH ₃ CI	OH NPr ₂	428.9 552.2	49 79	18.0 36.6
	Zolpidem	7 8 9	H CH ₃ CH ₃	H H H	CH ₃ CH ₃ CI CI	OH NPr ₂ NMe ₂	428.9 552.2 425.1	49 79 78	23.5 18.0 36.6 26.2
	Zolpidem	6 7 8 9 10	H CH ₃ CH ₃ CH ₃	H H H	CH ₃ CH ₃ CI CI CI	OH NPr ₂ NMe ₂ OH	428.9 552.2 425.1 486.4	49 79 78 57	23.5 18.0 36.6 26.2 22.9
	Zolpidem	6 7 8 9 10 11	H CH ₃ CH ₃ CH ₃ CI	H H H H H	CH ₃ CH ₃ CI CI CI CH ₃	OH NPr ₂ NMe ₂ OH NMe ₂	428.9 552.2 425.1 486.4 332.4	49 79 78 57 88	23.5 18.0 36.6 26.2 22.9 20.2
	Zolpidem	7 8 9 10 11 12	H CH ₃ CH ₃ CH ₃ CI CI	H H H H H	CH ₃ CH CI CI CI CH ₃ CH ₃	OH NPr ₂ NMe ₂ OH NMe ₂ OH	428.9 552.2 425.1 486.4 332.4 494.3	49 79 78 57 88 40	23.5 18.0 36.6 26.2 22.9 20.2 17.5
	Zolpidem	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	H CH ₃ CH ₃ CH ₃ CI CI CI CH ₃	H H H H H H	CH ₃ CH CI CI CH CH ₃ CH ₃ CH ₃	OH NPr ₂ NMe ₂ OH NMe ₂ OH OH	428.9 552.2 425.1 486.4 332.4 494.3 203.7	49 79 78 57 88 40 33	23.5 18.0 36.6 26.2 22.9 20.2 17.5 14.4

Department of Chemistry E-mail: i.r.baxendale@durham.ac.uk

Members of the ITC

Members of BCL

Dr Francesco Tozzi Dr Lucia Tamborini Dr Heiko Lange Dr Laetitia Martin Dr Matt Kitching Dr Jorg Sedelmeir Dr Chris Smith Dr Christian Hornung Dr Jane Jin Dr Catherine Smith Dr Rainer Martin Dr Tobias Brodmann Dr Steve Lanners Dr Tash Polyzos Dr Celeste Iannuzzi Maria Dr Malte Brasholz Dr Francesco Venturoni Dr John Hayward Dr Trine Petersen Dr Matthew O'Brien Dr Antti Kataja Dr Mark Hopkin Dr Keiji Nakayama Dr Ulrike Gross Dr Jason Tierney Dr Peter Koos Dr Victoria Rojo Dr Catherine Carter Dr Elena Riva Dr Sam Qian Dr Kim Roper Dr Claudio Battilocchio Dr Lucie Guetzoyan Dr Nikzad Nikbin Dr Jens Wegner

Carl Millia Laurens Brocken Dr Marcus Baumann Francesco Calogero Dr Francesco Venturoni Antonio M. Rodríguez Ben Thompson

Special thanks to the following organisations for their generosity and friendship

Advion, AM Technologies, EPSRC, IFF, Syngenta, The Royal Society, The Ley Group, Unilever. Understanding and Optimising Chemical Processes through Statistical Methodologies Durham University, 8th-10th July 2013

Do you want to:

- significantly expand process understanding?
- establish and develop capability?
- ensure robust and in-control processes?
- improve quality?
- reduce operational and environmental costs

For more details please contact

matthew.linsley@newcastle.ac.uk or p.g.steel@durham.ac.uk